



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/885,289	06/20/2001	John Deryk Waters	B-4228 618900-6 2672	
75	90 07/06/2004		EXAMINER	
LADAS & PARRY			LY, NGHI H	
Suite 2100 5670 Wilshire Boulevard			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Los Angeles, CA 90036-5679			2686	E
			DATE MAILED: 07/06/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
•	09/885,289	WATERS ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Nghi H. Ly	2686			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1: after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of the period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from to cause the application to become ABANDONED	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on					
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This	action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims					
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-31,33-36 and 38-72 is/are pending 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-31,33-36 and 38-72 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.				
Application Papers					
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.				
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex		` '			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Application ity documents have been receive I (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No d in this National Stage			
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date		te atent Application (PTO-152)			

Art Unit: 2686

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 2. Claims 1-4, 8, 10-19, 21, 31, 33-36, 38, 44-50, 52-72 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Przygoda, Jr. et al (US 6,373,389).

Regarding claims 1, 15, 21, 31, 33, 44, 52 and 53, Przygoda teaches a method of locating a missing item (see Abstract), the item being capable of communicating its presence to a piconet telecommunications device (see fig.5, wireless connection between devices and column 17, lines 41-53 see "zone"), comprising, (i) there being a plurality of piconet devices capable of forming a short range piconet and forming a piconet with those devices (also see fig.5, wireless connection between devices and column 17, lines 41-53 see "zone"), (ii) having the piconet devices establish which other piconet devices are members of the piconet to which they belong at a particular point in time (also see fig.5, wireless connection between devices and column 17, lines 41-53 see "zone") and having the piconet devices create an activity log correlating at least time and the identity of which piconet devices were in communication at that point in time (column 8, lines 51 to column 9, line 9, see "history logs"), (iii) establishing whether

Art Unit: 2686

the missing item is present in the current piconet of a said piconet device and/or reviewing the activity log to establish whether a record exists of a historic piconet to which both the missing item and a contactable other piconet device belonged at the time that the historic piconet existed (column 8, lines 51 to column 9, line 9, see "the last time the location of the item was identified by system 20"), (iv) establishing whether there is a known location for the historic piconet which most recently had as a member the missing item (column 8, lines 51 to column 9, line 9, see "the last time the location of the item was identified by system 20" and see column 1, lines 49-65 and column 3, lines 48-57).

Regarding claim 2, Przygoda teaches the step of contacting said other piconet device and establishing whether the missing item is part of the piconet that now includes other piconet device (see fig5, wireless connection between devices).

Regarding claim 3, 4, 54 and 60, Przygoda teaches each piconet device creates its own activity log and stores it in itself, in its own memory (see column 6, lines 1-12 and see column 12, lines 54-62).

Regarding claim 8, Przygoda teaches having a cut off point beyond which the search does not backtrack for contacts (see fig.6, step "finish").

Regarding claims 10 and 11, Przygoda teaches sequentially asking those other piconet devices that are identified from the activity log for information on whether the missing item is in their current piconet (see column 6, lines 13-31 and see column 7, lines 35-47).

Art Unit: 2686

Regarding claims 12, 34, 35, 46 and 56, Przygoda teaches having the piconet devices record their geographical, or physical, location at the time that a piconet exists (see column 21, lines 58-67, column 22, lines 9-18 and see column 22, lines 35-46).

Regarding claim 13, Przygoda teaches making piconet connection between a first device which has no inherent self-location abilities and another, second, device which does know its own location, and having the first device assume itself to be at the same, known, location as the second device (see fig.5, wireless connection between devices).

Regarding claim 14, Przygoda teaches the creation of the activity logs of the piconet devices occurs automatically without human intervention when the devices form a piconet (column 8, lines 51 to column 9, line 9, see "history logs").

Regarding claim 16-19, Przygoda teaches communicating the last known location of the missing item to the user of the method to enable them to consider whether to investigate that known location to see if the missing item can be found (see column 8, lines 54-63).

Regarding claim 36, Przygoda teaches the device has a location sensor adapted to provide details of the location of the device (see column 7, lines 14-22).

Regarding claims 38 and 59, Przygoda teaches a portable mobile electronic device (see fig.5, device in fig.5 a portable mobile electronic device).

Regarding claim 45, Przygoda teaches associating in the piconet activity log a time for membership of the piconet for piconet-capable articles (column 8, lines 51 to column 9, line 9, see "history logs").

Art Unit: 2686

Regarding claims 47, 63-65 and 68-70, Przygoda teaches an associated set of piconet member articles whose presence in the piconet is tracked, and generating an alarm when an article of the associated set of piconet member articles leaves the piconet (see column 6, lines 22-26 and see column 19, lines 25-29).

Regarding claims 48 and 49, Przygoda teaches generating a report analysing the contents of the piconet activity log (see column 6, lines 1-12 and see column 8, lines 51 to column 9, line 9, see "history logs").

Regarding claim 50, Przygoda teaches generating at least one of the following reports: (i) members of piconet at a particular time, (ii) history of piconet membership for a selected piconet member device, (iii)correlation of piconet membership for selected first and second piconet member devices, (iv) selected piconet device at selected physical location(s), (v) piconet member devices that have been at selected physical location(s) (see column 6, lines 1-12).

Regarding claims 55, 61 and 62, the combination of Przygoda and Richard further teaches a dual mode device having a long range telecommunications transmitter and receiver (see Richards, fig.15, wireless connection between 1502, 1504, 1506 and 1508), and in which the device is adapted to contact said dual mode device that is known at one time to have been in a piconet with the missing item, or to contact a piconet device near the last known position of the missing item, using its long range telecommunication transmitter and receiver (see Przygoda, column 8, lines 54-63).

Regarding claim 57, Przygoda teaches a device has a location identifier (see column 21, lines 50-58).

Art Unit: 2686

Regarding claim 58, Przygoda teaches a device has a clock and is adapted to time-stamp piconet membership data at a particular point in time using its clock (see column 6, lines 8-12), or which is adapted to import the time from an external source and adapted to time stamp the details of which devices were members of the piconet at a certain time (see column 7, lines 36-47).

Regarding claims 66 and 71, Przygoda teaches a user-operable alarm cancellation input adapted to enable a user to stop an alarm (see column 6, lines 22-26, Przygoda inherently teaches user-operable alarm cancellation input adapted to enable a user to stop an alarm).

Regarding claims 67 and 72, Przygoda teaches the controller is adapted to generate a report analysing the piconet activity log and/or export the piconet activity log to another electronic device (column 8, lines 51 to column 9, line 9, see "history logs").

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation

Art Unit: 2686

under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

5. Claims 5-7, 9, 20, 22-30, 39-43 and 51 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Przygoda, Jr. et al (US 6,373,389) in view of Riachards et al (US 6,300,903).

Regarding claims 5-7, 9, 20 and 51, Przygoda teaches a piconet telecommunications device having a piconet receiver capable of receiving information about members of a piconet to which the device temporarily belongs and a controller (see fig.5, wireless connection between devices and column 17, lines 41-53 see "zone"), wherein the controller is arranged in use to capture a piconet activity log when the device comes within piconet range of other piconet devices and to build up a log of which other devices were piconet members with the device and at what time that piconet existed (column 8, lines 51 to column 9, line 9, see "history logs").

Przygoda does not specifically disclose dual mode devices having both piconet capabilities and having long range telecommunication abilities, and to establish their long range telecommunication addresses; and in which the controller is capable of receiving a request to search for a missing item of known identity and upon such request is adapted to screen the activity log to identify historic piconets which contained the missing item and a dual mode device, and wherein the controller is adapted upon

Art Unit: 2686

identifying such a dual mode device to contact it via long range telecommunications and to establish whether the missing item is in the current piconet of the dual mode device.

Riachards teaches dual mode devices having both piconet capabilities and having long range telecommunication abilities (see fig.15, wireless connection between 1502, 1504, 1506 and 1508), and to establish their long range telecommunication addresses (also see fig.15, wireless connection between 1502, 1504, 1506 and 1508), and in which the controller is capable of receiving a request to search for a missing item of known identity and upon such request is adapted to screen the activity log to identify historic piconets which contained the missing item and a dual mode device (see column 25, lines 55 to column 26, line 4, see column 25, lines 14-22 and see fig.15, with display 1514), and wherein the controller is adapted upon identifying such a dual mode device to contact it via long range telecommunications and to establish whether the missing item is in the current piconet of the dual mode device (see column 25, lines 38-55 and see fig.15, wireless connection between 1502, 1504, 1506 and 1508).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the above teaching of Riachards into the system of Przygoda in order to provide an inexpensive impulse radio (see Richards, column 24, lines 64-67).

Regarding claim 22, Przygoda teaches a device has memory and in which the controller is adapted to store the device's activity log in the memory of the device (see column 6, lines 1-12 and see column 8, lines 51 to column 9, line 9, see "history logs").

Art Unit: 2686

Regarding claims 23, 29 and 30, the combination of Przygoda and Richard further teaches a dual mode device having a long range telecommunications transmitter and receiver (see Richards, fig.15, wireless connection between 1502, 1504, 1506 and 1508), and in which the device is adapted to contact said dual mode device that is known at one time to have been in a piconet with the missing item, or to contact a piconet device near the last known position of the missing item, using its long range telecommunication transmitter and receiver (see Przygoda, column 8, lines 54-63).

Regarding claim 24, Przygoda teaches the controller has the capability of recording in the activity log the geographical location of the device and associating the position of the device at a point in time with the piconet members at that point in time (see column 6, lines 1-12).

Regarding claim 25, Przygoda teaches a device has a location identifier (see column 21, lines 50-58).

Regarding claim 26, Przygoda teaches a device has a clock and is adapted to time-stamp piconet membership data at a particular point in time using its clock (see column 6, lines 8-12), or which is adapted to import the time from an external source and adapted to time stamp the details of which devices were members of the piconet at a certain time (see column 7, lines 36-47).

Regarding claim 27, Przygoda teaches a device is a portable mobile electronic device (see fig.5, devices in fig.5 are portable mobile electronic device).

Regarding claim 28, Przygoda teaches the controller is adapted to establish the telecommunications address of piconet members and store them so as to be able to

Art Unit: 2686

retrieve them in order to contact them at some time in the future (see column 6, lines 1-12).

Regarding claims 39-41, Przygoda teaches the controller having details of an associated item set associating a set of known items in a notional group, and the controller being adapted to monitor the piconet to which the device belongs and being adapted to generate an alarm when an item from said associated item set leaves the piconet (see column 6, lines 22-26).

Regarding claim 42, Przygoda teaches a device according having a useroperable alarm cancellation input adapted to enable a user to stop an alarm (see
column 6, lines 22-26, Przygoda inherently teaches user-operable alarm cancellation
input adapted to enable a user to stop an alarm).

Regarding claim 43, Przygoda teaches the controller is adapted to generate a report analysing the piconet activity log and/or export the piconet activity log to another electronic device (column 8, lines 51 to column 9, line 9, see "history logs").

Conclusion

- 6. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.
 - a. Holbrook (US 6,674,364) teaches object finder.
- b. Benvenuti (US 6,166,652) teaches system and method for locating misplaced items.
 - c. Lander (US 4,476,469) teaches means for assisting in locating an object.

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Nghi H. Ly whose telephone number is (703) 605-5164. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30 am-5:30 pm Monday-Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Marsha Banks-Harold can be reached on (703) 305-4379. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Nghi H. Ly

06/23/04

CHARLES APPIAH